

**PART- C MINUTES OF THE 33<sup>rd</sup> MEETING OF STATE LEVEL EXPERT APPRAISAL COMMITTEE (SEAC), JHARKHAND HELD FROM 10<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> SEPTEMBER, 2015**

The 33<sup>rd</sup> meeting of State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC), Jharkhand was held from 10<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 under the Chairmanship of Sh. A.K. Saxena in the Conference Room at 170 C, Ashok Nagar, Ranchi. The following members were present:

1. Sh. A.K. Saxena - Chairman
2. Sh. S.B.S Chauhan - Member
3. Er. S.K. Singh - Member
4. Dr. R.P. Singh Sangu - Member
5. Prof. (Dr.) M.C. Mahata - Member
6. Dr. Shatrunjay Kumar Singh - Member (Partly attended from 10<sup>th</sup> to 14<sup>th</sup> )
7. Shri S.K. Suman - Secretary

Various projects which were received by SEIAA after the previous SEAC meeting held from 24<sup>th</sup> to 27<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 and forwarded to SEAC for their technical appraisal came up for discussions. Also those Projects which were appraised in SEAC's earlier meetings in which PP's were asked to provide additional informations / clarifications were also considered for examination / scrutiny where the Project proponents had submitted replies. Accordingly, the Project proponents were asked to make technical presentation for the appraisal of their project before the committee.

The following salient observations made during the Presentation (Project Wise) in brief deserve serious consideration.

**A. Projects recommended to SEIAA for consideration of grant of EC.**

**1. Proposals related to Stone Mine**


**I. M/s Lutful Sheikh Stone Mine of M/s Lutful Sheikh at Mouza- Sidhpahari, Thana- Hirapur, Dist.- Pakur, Jharkhand (0.95 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Dr. R.N. Singh**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.95 Ha [Khata No.- 15, Plot No.- 931 & 932 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The

1



indicated project cost is Rs 35.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.47 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,53,441 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	14,027 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	14,298 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	14,280 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	14,214 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	14,273 t

The daily average production based on 300 working days as per Form I is 53 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for M/s Lutful Sheikh Stone Mine of M/s Lutful Sheikh at Mouza- Sidhpahari, Thana- Hirapur, Dist.- Pakur, Jharkhand (0.95 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure I.**

## **II. Sundrapahari Stone Mine Project of M/s Mukhlesur Alam at Village- Sundrapahari, Thana- Pakur, Dist.- Pakur, Jharkhand. (0.75 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Dr. R.N. Singh**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.75 Ha [Khata No.- 15, Plot No.- 391, 388 & 390, Khata No.- 16, Plot No.- 448, 458, 461, 463, 449, 456 to 460 and Khata No.- 13, Plot No.- 372]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The

indicated project cost is Rs 30.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.40 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,25,339 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	21,417 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	22,947 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	22,820 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	22,659 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	22,422 t

The daily production as per Form I is 83 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.


**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Sundrapahari Stone Mine Project of M/s Mukhlesur Alam at Village- Sundrapahari, Thana- Pakur, Dist.- Pakur, Jharkhand. (0.75 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure I.**

**III. Bandua Stone Mine of M/s Shree Mineral (Sri Anish Kumar Murarka) at Village- Bandua, Tehsil- Rajnagar, Dist.- Saraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand. (4.86 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Visiontek Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd, Bhubaneshwar, RQP- Sri B. Tirkey**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 4.86 Ha [Khata No.- 132, Plot No.- 1569 (P) & 1324 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The



indicated project cost is Rs 43.12 Lakh and a provision of Rs 4.80 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 35,68,018 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	1,98,424 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	2,13,381 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	2,30,256 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	2,38,950 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	2,46,375 t

The daily production as per Form I is 821 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Bandua Stone Mine of M/s Shree Mineral (Sri Anish Kumar Murarka) at Village- Bandua, Tehsil- Rajnagar, Dist.- Saraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand. (4.86 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure I.**

**IV. Bandua Stone Mine of M/s Bimaldeep Infrastructure (P) Ltd (Sri Ajay Kumar Murarka) at Village- Bandua, Tehsil- Rajnagar, Dist.- Saraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand. (15.75 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Visiontek Consultancy Services Pvt Ltd, Bhubaneshwar, RQP- Sri B. Tirkey**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 15.75 Ha [Khata No.- 192, Plot No.- 408 & 409]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 1.10 Crores and a provision of Rs 14.95 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,35,48,465 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	3,21,300 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	6,79,725 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	7,06,320 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	7,12,260 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	7,47,900 t

The daily production as per Form I is 2493 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Bandua Stone Mine of M/s Bimaldeep Infrastructure (P) Ltd (Sri Ajay Kumar Murarka) at Village- Bandua, Tehsil- Rajnagar, Dist.- Saraikela-Kharsawan, Jharkhand. (15.75 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure I.**

**V. Baghdega Stone Mine of M/s Shivalay Construction Company Pvt Ltd. at Village- Baghdega, P.S.- Kersai, Dist.- Simdega, Jharkhand (2.66 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Bhubaneshwar, RQP- Sri B. Tirkey**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 2.66 Ha [Khata No.- 285, Plot No.- 4809]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.



The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 43.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 2.50 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 12,73,709 t



5



Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	4,92,480 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	3,66,962 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	2,38,237 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	75,816 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	Nil

The daily production as per Form I is 535 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Baghdega Stone Mine of M/s Shivalay Construction Company Pvt Ltd. at Village- Baghdega, P.S.- Kersai, Dist.- Simdega, Jharkhand (2.66 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure I.**

### Annexure -I



#### A. Specific Conditions

1. The environmental clearance is subject to grant of mining lease by the Department of Mines, Government of Jharkhand to PP and all other Statutory Conditions as imposed by various agencies / District Authorities are complied with.
2. No mining shall be undertaken in the forest area without obtaining requisite prior forestry clearance. Minimum distance shall be maintained from Reserved / Protected Forest as stipulated in SEIAA Guidelines.
3. Environmental clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / National Green Tribunal / MOEF Guidelines applicable to Minor Minerals.
4. Environmental clearance is subject to obtaining clearance under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 from the competent authority, as may be applicable to this project (in case any fauna occurs / is found in the Project area). No damage is to be done to fauna if found in ML area (as mentioned in various schedules). In case found they should be given protection, collected alive with the help of the expert and transferred them or handing over them to the concerned authorities. Conservation Plan, if applicable has to be adhered to.
5. The mining operations shall be restricted to above ground water table and it should not intersect the groundwater table. In case of working below the ground water table, prior approval of the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board shall be obtained. Benches height and slope shall be maintained as per approved Mining Plan. The Mining Plan has to be got approved by concerned authorities as per SEIAA guidelines. Safety measures shall be adopted in line with DGMS Guidelines.
6. PP shall maintain minimum distance from Reserved / Protected Forests as stipulated in applicable guidelines.
7. The project proponent shall ensure that no natural watercourse and / or water resources shall be obstructed due to any mining operations. Adequate measures shall be taken for conservation and

The bottom of the page contains several handwritten signatures and initials. From left to right, there is a signature that appears to be 'S. S.', a large circular signature, a signature with the number '6' written below it, a signature with a checkmark, and a signature that appears to be 'P. C. U.'.

protection of the first order and the second order streams, if any emanating / passing through the mine lease area during the course of mining operation.


8. The top soil, if any shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) only and it should not be kept unutilized for long. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation.
9. There shall be no external dump(s). Monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas shall continue until the vegetation becomes self-sustaining. Compliance status shall be submitted to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and its nearest Regional Office on six monthly basis.
10. Catch drains and siltation ponds of appropriate size shall be constructed around the mine working, sub-grade and mineral dump(s) to prevent run off of water and flow of sediments directly into the agricultural fields, and other water bodies. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the mine area, haul roads, green belt development etc. The drains shall be regularly desilted particularly after the monsoon and maintained properly.
11. Dimension of the retaining wall at the toe of the OB benches within the mine to check run-off and siltation shall be based on the rain fall data.
12. Greenbelt shall be developed all along the mine lease area and haul roads. The Project proponent shall do tree plantation in at least 33% of the space, preferably along the periphery and in vacant space. Fast growing and local species will be planted. In case land is not available within the lease area or it is not possible to plant trees due to nature of land then PP will do necessary afforestation at other places / land.
13. Effective safeguard measures such as regular water sprinkling shall be carried out in critical areas prone to air pollution and having high levels of particulate matter such as loading and unloading point and transfer points. Extensive water sprinkling shall be carried out on haul roads which should be made pucca with suitable water drainage arrangements. It should be ensured that the Ambient Air Quality parameters conform to the norms prescribed by the Central Pollution Control Board in this regard.
14. The project proponent should implement suitable conservation measures to augment ground water resources in the area in consultation with the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
15. The project proponent shall if required, obtain necessary prior permission/NOC of the competent authorities for drawl of requisite quantity of water required for the project.
16. Suitable rainwater harvesting measures shall be planned and implemented in consultation with the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
17. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Measures shall be taken for maintenance of vehicles used in mining operations and in transportation of mineral. The mineral transportation shall be carried out through the covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded. No transportation of ore outside the mine lease area shall be carried out after the sunset.
18. No blasting shall be carried out after the sunset. Blasting operation shall be carried out only during the daytime. Controlled blasting shall be practiced. The mitigative measures for control of ground vibrations and to arrest fly rocks and boulders should be implemented.
19. Drills shall either be operated with the dust extractors or equipped with water injection system.
20. Effective safeguard measures should be taken to control fugitive emissions so as to ensure that RSPM (PM10 and PM 2.5) levels are within prescribed limits.



21. Pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the project shall be carried out and records maintained.
22. The project proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operation for conservation and protection of endangered fauna.
23. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, septic tanks, safe drinking water, medical health care, etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
24. Proper safety measures as per statutory requirement are to be implemented around the mined out Pit prior to closure of site.
25. A final mine closure Plan along with corpus fund duly approved by Competent Authority shall be submitted to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and to concerned DMO in advance of final mine closure for approval.
26. The project proponent shall obtain Consent to establish and Consent to Operate from the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and effectively implement all the conditions stipulated therein

#### **B. General conditions**

1. No change in mining technology and scope of working should be made without prior approval of the Statutory authorities / Department of Mines, Government of Jharkhand / Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi during the EC period.
2. No change in the calendar plan including excavation, quantum of mineral and waste should be made.
3. The Project proponent shall make all internal roads pucca and shall maintain a good housekeeping by regular cleaning and wetting of the haul roads and the premises.
4. The Project proponent shall maintain register for production and dispatch and submit return to the Board.
5. The Project proponent shall not cut trees / carry out tree felling in leased out area without the permission of competent authority.
6. Measures should be taken for control of noise levels below prescribed norms in the work environment. Workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs / muffs.
7. Industrial waste water (workshop and waste water from the mine) should be properly collected, treated so as to conform to the standards Oil and grease trap should be installed before discharge of workshop effluents.
8. Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects. Occupational health surveillance program of the workers should be undertaken periodically to observe any contractions due to exposure to dust and take corrective measures, if needed.
9. Dispensary facilities for First Aid shall be provided at site.
10. A separate environmental management / monitoring cell with suitable qualified personnel should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive, who will report directly to the Head of the Organization.






11. The funds earmarked for environmental protection measures should be kept in separate account and should not be diverted for other purpose. Year wise expenditure should be reported to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi. PP shall carry out CSR activities as per Government Guidelines (%of Profit / turnover) or at least Rs 1 per ton whichever is higher.
12. The Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi directly or through its Regional Office, shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.
13. The project proponent shall submit six monthly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated environmental clearance conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard copies as well as by e-mail) to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and to its concerned Regional Office.
14. The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the environmental clearance conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board and its concerned Regional Office The criteria pollutant levels namely ; SPM ,RSPM,SO<sub>2</sub> ,NOx (ambient levels) or critical sectoral parameters , indicated for the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the main gate of the company in the company in the public domain.
15. A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the project proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zila Parisad / Municipal Corporation, Urban Local Body and the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions/ representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the project proponent.
16. The environmental statement for each financial year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March in Form-V as is mandated to be submitted by the project proponent to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986,as amended subsequently ,shall also be put on the website of the company along the status of compliance of EC conditions and shall also to the concerned Regional Office of JSPCB by e-mail.
17. All statutory clearances shall be obtained before start of mining operations.

**C. Other points**

1. The Authority reserves the right to add any new condition or modify the above conditions or to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated above are not implemented to the satisfaction of Authority or for that matter for any other Administrative reason.
2. The Environmental Clearance accorded shall be valid for the period of grant of lease for the mine (generally 10 years). The PP shall not increase production rate and alter lease area during the validity of Environmental Clearance.
3. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposed from those submitted to SEIAA, Jharkhand for clearance, a fresh reference should be made to SEIAA to assess the adequacy of the conditions imposed and to incorporate any new conditions if required.
4. The above stipulations would be enforced among others under the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous Wastes (Management, Handling and Tran boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and rules made there under and also any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/ High Court of Jharkhand and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.

The bottom of the page features several handwritten signatures and initials in black ink. From left to right, there is a large, stylized signature, a signature with a date '9' written below it, a simple checkmark-like signature, a signature that appears to be 'Rou', and a final signature that looks like 'SH'.

5. Any Appeal against this Environmental Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.

### **Proposals related to Stone Mine (Renewal Project)**

#### **VI. Nouni Stone Mine of Sri Arun Kumar Pandey at Village- Nouni, Thana- Ghaghra, Dist.- Gumla, Jharkhand (0.4048 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Visiontek Consulting Services (P) Ltd, Bhubaneshwar, RQP- Sri Surendra Sai**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.4048 Ha [Khata No.- 76, Plot No.- 827 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal & expansion of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 27.02.2004 to 27.02.2014.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 10.89 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.60 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 52,547 t


Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for four years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	5820 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	5823 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	5623 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	5623 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 19 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Nouni Stone Mine of Sri Arun Kumar Pandey at Village- Nouni, Thana- Ghaghra, Dist.- Gumla, Jharkhand (0.4048 Ha) be recommended for consideration of**

The bottom of the page contains several handwritten signatures and initials. On the left, there are two distinct signatures. In the center, the number '10' is written above a signature. To the right of the '10' is another signature with a large 'X' mark. On the far right, there is a signature that appears to be 'Rajeev'.

SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.

**VII. Daroga Pradhan Stone Mine of M/s Daroga Pradhan at Village- Sabaigarha, Thana- Baliyapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (0.708 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Visiontek Consulting Services (P) Ltd, Bhubaneswar, RQP- Dr. Amarjeet Singh**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.708 Ha [Khata No.- 21, Plot No.- 192 (P) & 193 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for transfer of lease & expansion of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 27.05.2006 to 26.05.2016.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 25.06 Lakh and a provision of Rs 5.50 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 2,04,020 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	22,500 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	22,500 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	22,500 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	22,500 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	22,500 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 75 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. As per DFO's letter no.- 1115, dated- 21.07.2015 the plot does not fall under forest land. However distance from forest has not been mentioned. In this regard the decision taken by SEIAA in its 31<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 27.05.2015 point no.-8 **"In SEIAA meeting, dated 24.09.2013 for renewal of cases, the distance of 50 meters from forest boundary is revisited.**

This decision was reviewed in this meeting and SEIAA decided that in case of renewal of mining proposals EC will be granted on as is where it is basis but not in the Notified / Demarcated forest”.

As such the case is forwarded for consideration.

Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Daroga Pradhan Stone Mine of M/s Daroga Pradhan at Village- Sabaigarha, Thana- Baliyapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (0.708 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.

**VIII. Gangupada Mako Stone Mine of Sri Prakash Kedia at Village- Gangupada Mako, Tehsil- Pathna, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (2.39 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Crystal Consultants, Ranchi, RQP- Sri J.P. Bhattacharya**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 2.39 Ha [Khata No.- 12, Plot No.- 23 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 07.11.2005 to 06.11.2015 for a period of 10 years.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 13.45 Lakh and a provision of Rs 5.75 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Measured Resources : 6,07,622 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	60,018 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	60,053 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	60,005 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	60,048 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	60,038 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 200 tonnes.

12

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Gangupada Mako Stone Mine of Sri Prakash Kedia at Village- Gangupada Mako, Tehsil- Pathna, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (2.39 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**IX. Borna Stone Mine of Sri Shankar Kumar at Mouza- Borna, P.O.- Barharwa, Thana- Ranga, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (1.011 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.011 Ha [Khata No.- 60, Plot No.- 442 (P) & 466 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 02.03.2010 to 01.03.2015.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 39.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 3.42 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,16,904 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	7071 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	7074 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	7089 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	7482 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	7458 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 25 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Borna Stone Mine of Sri Shankar Kumar at Mouza- Borna, P.O.- Barharwa, Thana- Ranga, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (1.011 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**X. Chaldhwa Stone Mine of Mr. Jaydhan Rajwar at Village- Chaldhua, Tehsil- Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (1.214 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Anacon Laboratories Pvt Ltd, Nagpur, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.214 Ha [Khata No.- 216, Plot No.- 2922 (P) (Old) & 4018 (P) (New)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 12.01.2003 for a period of 10 years.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 19.50 Lakh and a provision of Rs 3.35 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 2,42,411 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	20,574 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	24,683 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	31,547 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	26,892 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	34,709 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 116 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Chaldhwa Stone Mine of Mr. Jaydhan Rajwar at Village- Chaldhua, Tehsil-Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (1.214 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.

**XI. Bara Bana Para Stone Mine of M/S Shri Shankar & Co. at Mouza- Bara Bana Para, Thana- Taljhari, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (1.149 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.149 Ha [Khata No.- 55, Plot No.- 26 (P) & 29]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 29.02.2010 to 28.02.2015.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 38.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.07 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,63,927 t


Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	17,537 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	17,798 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	17,798 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	17,931 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	17,723 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 60 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Bara Bana Para Stone Mine of M/S Shri Shankar & Co. at Mouza- Bara Bana**

 15

Para, Thana- Taljhari, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (1.149 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.

**XII. Chhota Hariyan Stone Mine of M/S S.B. Trading & Marketing Agency at Village- Chhota Hariyan, P.O.- Haldipokhar, Dist.- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand (1.29 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow, RQP- Sri B. Tirkey**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.29 Ha [Khata No.- 234, Plot No.- 963 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 03.03.2010 to 02.03.2015 and as per Form-I has applied for 2<sup>nd</sup> renewal.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 37.62 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.87 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 3,13,092 t


Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	44,440 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	40,201 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	36,044 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	47,004 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	46,393 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 155 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Chhota Hariyan Stone Mine of M/S S.B. Trading & Marketing Agency at Village- Chhota Hariyan, P.O.- Haldipokhar, Dist.- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand (1.29 Ha)**

The bottom of the page contains several handwritten signatures and initials. On the left, there is a signature that appears to be 'S.B.'. In the center, there is a signature with the number '16' written above it. To the right of this, there is a large checkmark. On the far right, there is another signature that looks like 'R.P.'.



be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.

**XIII. Rajpura Stone Mine of Shri Shiv Prasad Singh at Village- Rajpura, P.S- Jasidih, District- Deoghar, Jharkhand. (3.905 Ha)**

**Consultant- M/S Ascenso Enviro Pvt Ltd, Noida, RQP- Sri B.B. Lall**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 3.905 Ha [Jamabandi No.- 19, Plot No.- 633 & 634, Jamabandi No.- 42, Plot No.- 635, 636 & 680, Jamabandi No.- 64, Plot No.- 669 & 676, Jamabandi No.- 3, Plot No.- 641, 679, 639, 666, 667, 677, 681, 675, 665, 640, 670, 678 & 664, Jamabandi No.- 41, Plot No.- 638, Jamabandi No.- 29, Plot No.- 668]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of lease project for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 15.01.2003 for a period of 10 years.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 19.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.48 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 12,09,373 t


Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	1,09,355 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	1,09,528 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	1,09,385 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	1,09,474 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	1,09,496 t

The daily production as per Form I is 406 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. DMO has in his certificate mentioned that there are no mines in 1 km area which is more than 500 m. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Rajpura Stone Mine of Shri Shiv Prasad Singh at Village- Rajpura, P.S- Jasidih, District- Deoghar, Jharkhand. (3.905 Ha) be recommended for consideration of**



SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.

**XIV. Stone Mine of M/S Ramesh Kumar Dokania at Village- Ambade, Bade & Idpe, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (4.86 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Crystal Consultants, Ranchi, RQP- Sri J.P. Bhattacharya**

PP had applied to SEIAA alongwith Form-I and PFR. ToR's vide letter no.- 866, dated - 01.05.2015. The ToR's were issued for cluster. The following mines formed the cluster.

- a. Stone Mine of M/S Krishna Stone Works at Village- Ambade, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (6.075 Ha).
- b. Stone Mine of M/S Ramesh Kumar Dokania at Village- Ambade, Bade & Idpe, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (4.86 Ha).

In view of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 27.05.2015 wherein it was decided that "SEIAA decided to comply with the order of the MoEF & CC, OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated 24.12.2013 effective from date of issuance of the direction, so the cases up to 25 Ha. for which ToRs has already been issued or are being issued or is under consideration for issuance of ToRs will be heard by the SEAC and the PP will be not insisted upon to submit EIA/EMP in such cases has spelled out in MoEF & CC, OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated 24.12.2013". PP has applied to SEIAA for reconsideration of its proposal under B2 category. In view of the decision taken above SEIAA has referred the matter to SEAC for examination of approved mine plan and other documents. This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 4.86 Ha [Ambade Khata No.- 10, Plot No.- 9, Khata No.- 15, Plot No.- 10 & 13, Bade Khata No.- 4, Plot No.- 2, Idpe Khata No.- 8, Plot No.- 37 (P), 40 & 41]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of lease project for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 28.11.2010 for a period of 05 years.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 19.85 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 6.85 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 27,69,166 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	4,38,421 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	4,42,970 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	4,41,400 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	4,55,339 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	4,49,215 t

The daily production as per Form I is 1500 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Stone Mine of M/S Ramesh Kumar Dokania at Village- Ambade, Bade & Idpe, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (4.86 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**


**XV. Stone Mine of M/S Krishna Stone Works at Village- Ambade, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (6.075 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Crystal Consultants, Ranchi, RQP- Sri J.P. Bhattacharya**

PP had applied to SEIAA alongwith Form-I and PFR. ToR's vide letter no.- 866, dated - 01.05.2015. The ToR's were issued for cluster. The following mines formed the cluster.

- Stone Mine of M/S Krishna Stone Works at Village- Ambade, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (6.075 Ha).
- Stone Mine of M/S Ramesh Kumar Dokania at Village- Ambade, Bade & Idpe, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (4.86 Ha).

In view of 31<sup>st</sup> meeting of SEIAA held on 27.05.2015 wherein it was decided that "SEIAA decided to comply with the order of the MoEF & CC, OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated 24.12.2013 effective from date of issuance of the direction, so the cases up to 25 Ha. for which ToRs has already been issued or are being issued or is under consideration for issuance of ToRs will be heard by the SEAC and the PP will be not insisted upon to submit EIA/EMP in such cases has spelled out in MoEF & CC, OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated 24.12.2013". PP has applied to SEIAA for reconsideration of its proposal under B2 category. In view of the decision taken above SEIAA has referred the matter to SEAC for examination of approved mine plan and other documents. This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 6.075 Ha [Khata No.- 12, Plot No.- 34, Khata No.- 13, Plot No.- 36 (P), Khata No.- 8, Plot No.- 38, Khata No.- 7, Plot No.- 45 (P) & 46, Khata No.- 24, Plot No.- 54 (P), Khata No.- 22, Plot No.- 57 (P), Khata No.- 18, Plot No.- 58 to 60]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of lease project for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 12.08.2004 for a period of 10 years.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on



19  
Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

Handwritten signature

SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 21.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 8.00 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 19,43,396 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	2,49,183 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	2,46,316 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	2,38,708 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	2,58,126 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	2,32,747 t

The daily production as per Form I is 800 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. DFO has indicated the Mouza Ambade is not forest land. In this regard the decision taken by SEIAA in its 31<sup>st</sup> meeting held on 27.05.2015 point no.-8 **"In SEIAA meeting, dated 24.09.2013 for renewal of cases, the distance of 50 meters from forest boundary is revisited.**

**This decision was reviewed in this meeting and SEIAA decided that in case of renewal of mining proposals EC will be granted on as is where it is basis but not in the Notified / Demarcated forest".**

As such the case is forwarded for consideration.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Stone Mine of M/S Krishna Stone Works at Village- Ambade, P.S.- Ranga, Dist.- Sahebganj, Jharkhand (6.075 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XVI. Gangopara Bedo Stone Mine of Sri Sunil Kumar Ghosh at Village- Gangopara Bedo, Thana- Ranga, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (3.76 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 3.76 Ha [J. B. No.- 11, Plot No.- 25 (P) & 44 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 11.10.2010 to 10.10.2015.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 48.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 3.00 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 15,69,150 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	1,57,485 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	1,57,380 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	1,57,350 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	1,57,410 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	1,57,305 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 525 tonnes.



PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Gangopara Bedo Stone Mine of Sri Sunil Kumar Ghosh at Village-Gangopara Bedo, Thana- Ranga, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (3.76 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XVII. Kusmatanr Stone Mining Project of M/S Ram Kumar Mandal at Village-Kusmatanr, Thana- Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (0.91 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri B. Turkey**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.91 Ha [Khata No.- 108, Plot No.- 556 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which



PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 21.05.2004 for a period of 10 years.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 30.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 0.85 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,75,789 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	31,347 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	12,096 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	24,057 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	9,072 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	9,450 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 57 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. In the certificate issued by CO it has been mentioned that there are 15 Kucha houses at a distance of 370 m. As per JSPCB 40 houses constitute a habitat. As such the case is forwarded for consideration. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Kusmatanr Stone Mining Project of M/S Ram Kumar Mandal at Village- Kusmatanr, Thana- Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (0.91 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XVIII. Padapahar Stone Mine of M/S C.T.S. Industries Ltd at Village- Padapahar, Thana- Noamundi, Dist.- West Singhbhum, Jharkhand (1.433 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.433 Ha [Khata No.- 02, Plot No.- 3828, Khata No.- 149, Plot No.- 3829]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for

renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 16.04.2011 to 15.04.2016.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 60.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 2.20 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 3,93,764 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	76,164 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	76,316 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	76,901 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	77,207 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	87,059 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 295 tonnes.


PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Padapahar Stone Mine of M/S C.T.S. Industries Ltd at Village- Padapahar, Thana- Noamundi, Dist.- West Singhbhum, Jharkhand (1.433 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XIX. Borna Stone Mine of Sri Abdul Rajjak at Mouza- Borna, P.O.- Bishanpur, Thana- Ranga, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (2.222 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 2.222 Ha [Khata No.- 55, Plot No.- 284 (P), Khata No.- 48, Plot No.- 282 (P), Khata No.- 65, Plot No.- 285 (P), 286 & 287]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC.

 23

The lease was valid w.e.f. 31.03.2010 to 30.03.2015.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 28.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.86 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 6,73,674 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	68,955 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	68,466 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	68,967 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	68,304 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	68,610 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 230 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Borna Stone Mine of Sri Abdul Rajjak at Mouza- Borna, P.O.- Bishanpur, Thana- Ranga, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (2.222 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XX. Stone Mine of M/S N.S. & Company at Village- Khaprajola, Tehsil- Pakur, Dist.- Pakur, Jharkhand (1.518 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Crystal Consultants, Ranchi, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.518 Ha [Khata No.- 92, Plot No.- 556 to 559]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The mining lease was granted to Sri Premchand Gangwani on 19.06.2003 for 10 years i.e. up to 18.06.2013. Mining lease was transferred to M/s N.S. & Company on 24.06.2011. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated



14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 10.55 Lakh and a provision of Rs 3.25 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 3,77,286 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	38,880 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	39,288 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	39,210 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	39,408 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	39,330 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 131 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Stone Mine of M/S N.S. & Company at Village- Khaprajola, Tehsil- Pakur, Dist.- Pakur, Jharkhand (1.518 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XXI. Balsiring Stone Mine of Sri Dhiraj Kumar at Village- Balsiring, Thana- Ranchi, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (0.809 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.809 Ha [Khata No.- 123, Plot No.- 1001 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 18.05.2005 to 17.05.2015.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed

exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 29.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.13 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,02,720 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	10,725 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	10,713 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	10,695 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	10,740 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	10,710 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 36 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Balsiring Stone Mine of Sri Dhiraj Kumar at Village- Balsiring, Thana- Ranchi, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (0.809 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XXII. Pachrukhi Telaiya Stone Mine of M/s Jai Mata Dee Stone Chips (Sri Kuldeep Prasad) at Village- Pachrukhi Telaiya, Thana- Barkatta, Dist.- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand (1.44 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.44 Ha [Khata No.- 1, Plot No.- 28 (P), Khata No.- 8, Plot No.- 30, Khata No.- 10, Plot No.- 31, Khata No.- 22, Plot No.- 32]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 21.11.2003 to 20.11.2013.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed

exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 40.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.54 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,27,502 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	29,921 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	29,622 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	30,256 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	30,116 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	17,437 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 101 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Pachrukhi Telaiya Stone Mine of M/s Jai Mata Dee Stone Chips (Sri Kuldeep Prasad) at Village- Pachrukhi Telaiya, Thana- Barkatta, Dist.- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand (1.44 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XXIII. Badiya Quartzite Mine of M/s Manoj Kumar Dubey at Village- Badiya, P.O.- Ghatsila, Dist.- East- Singhbhum, Jharkhand (0.75 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.75 Ha [Khata No.- 1133, Plot No.- 2717 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for existing of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC and for operating the same. The lease was valid w.e.f. 09.09.2011 to 08.09.2016.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and

decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 37.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 3.70 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 1,52,614 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	15,853 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	15,860 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	15,033 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	15,300 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	15,500 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 53 tonnes.


PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Badiya Quartzite Mine of M/s Manoj Kumar Dubey at Village- Badiya, P.O.- Ghatsila, Dist.- East- Singhbhum, Jharkhand (0.75 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XXIV. Mahudi Stone Mine of M/s R.K Stone (Shri Ramesh Kumar Agrwal) at Village- Mahudi, P.O- Noamundi, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (1.999 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.999 Ha [Khata No.- 2, Plot No.- 5022 (P)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 06.11.2006 to 05.11.2016.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The bottom of the page features several handwritten signatures and initials. From left to right, there is a signature that appears to be 'S.K.', a large circular scribble, a signature that looks like 'A.A.', a signature that looks like 'H.H.', the number '28' in the center, a large 'X' mark, and a signature that looks like 'P.K.'.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 35.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 3.50 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 9,21,392 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	92,594 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	92,556 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	92,621 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	92,478 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	92,702 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 309 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Mahudi Stone Mine of M/s R.K Stone (Shri Ramesh Kumar Agrwal) at Village- Mahudi, P.O- Noamundi, Dist.- Sahibganj, Jharkhand (1.999 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XXV. Jamdiha Stone Mine of Sri Suresh Chandra Shah & Sri Ashok Kumar Gupta at Village- Jamdiha, Thana- Markacho, Dist.- Koderma, Jharkhand (0.66 Ha)  
Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 0.66 Ha [Khata No.- 5, Plot No.- 94]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. The lease was valid w.e.f. 25.11.2002 to 24.11.2012.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic

29

development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 20.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.19 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 32,300 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	3664 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	3683 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	3694 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	3758 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	3780 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 12 tonnes.



PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Jamdiha Stone Mine of Sri Suresh Chandra Shah & Sri Ashok Kumar Gupta at Village- Jamdiha, Thana- Markacho, Dist.- Koderma, Jharkhand (1.62 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

**XXVI. Sabaigarha Stone Mine of Sri Rajesh Kumar at Village- Sabaigarha, P.O.- Palani, Thana- Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (1.21 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri P.K. Sen**

This is a Stone Mining Project for having an area of 1.21 Ha [Khata No.- 21, Plot No.- 206 (P) (Old), 329 (New)]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for renewal of mine lease for which PP is seeking EC. A mining lease for stone in Mouza- Sabaigarha, Thana- Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (1.21 Ha) was granted in favour of Sri Harbindor Singh (transferor), who transferred the lease to Sri Rajesh Kumar (transferee) on 12.01.2004. The lease will be effect to transferee up to 10.04.2012.. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

5/2  
  
30/2  
  
P.K. Sen

The project was reviewed with respect to proposed Mining Plan, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the mine, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining haul roads and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 40.00 Lakh and a provision of Rs 1.65 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

The details of mine capacity as per Approved Mining Plan are

Mineable Proved Reserve : 4,20,057 t

Year-wise Production as per Approved Mining Plan Report for five years is as follows

1 <sup>st</sup> Year	:	42,570 t
2 <sup>nd</sup> Year	:	42,414 t
3 <sup>rd</sup> Year	:	42,810 t
4 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	42,324 t
5 <sup>th</sup> Year	:	42,816 t

The daily production as per Form-I is 36 tonnes.

PP has submitted certificates from Circle Officer, DFO & DMO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Sabaigarha Stone Mine of Sri Rajesh Kumar at Village- Sabaigarha, P.O.- Palani, Thana- Baliapur, Dist.- Dhanbad, Jharkhand (1.21 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure II.**

## Annexure -II

### A. Specific Conditions

1. The environmental clearance is subject to renewal of mining lease by the Department of Mines, Government of Jharkhand to PP and all other Statutory Conditions as imposed by various agencies / District Authorities are complied with.
2. No mining shall be undertaken in the forest area without obtaining requisite prior forestry clearance. Minimum distance shall be maintained from Reserved / Protected Forest as stipulated in SEIAA Guidelines.
3. Environmental clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / National Green Tribunal / MOEF Guidelines applicable to Minor Minerals.
4. Environmental clearance is subject to obtaining clearance under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 from the competent authority, as may be applicable to this project (in case any fauna occurs / is found in the Project area). No damage is to be done to fauna if found in ML area (as mentioned in various schedules). In case found they should be given protection, collected alive



with the help of the expert and transferred them or handing over them to the concerned authorities. Conservation Plan, if applicable has to be adhered to.

5. The mining operations shall be restricted to above ground water table and it should not intersect the groundwater table. In case of working below the ground water table, prior approval of the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board shall be obtained. Benches height and slope shall be maintained as per approved Mining Plan. The Mining Plan has to be got approved by concerned authorities as per SEIAA guidelines. Safety measures shall be adopted in line with DGMS Guidelines.
6. PP shall maintain minimum distance from Reserved / Protected Forests as stipulated in applicable guidelines.
7. The project proponent shall ensure that no natural watercourse and / or water resources shall be obstructed due to any mining operations. Adequate measures shall be taken for conservation and protection of the first order and the second order streams, if any emanating / passing through the mine lease area during the course of mining operation.
8. The top soil, if any shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) only and it should not be kept unutilized for long. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation.
9. There shall be no external dump(s). Monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas shall continue until the vegetation becomes self-sustaining. Compliance status shall be submitted to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and its nearest Regional Office on six monthly basis.
10. Catch drains and siltation ponds of appropriate size shall be constructed around the mine working, sub-grade and mineral dump(s) to prevent run off of water and flow of sediments directly into the agricultural fields, and other water bodies. The water so collected should be utilized for watering the mine area, haul roads, green belt development etc. The drains shall be regularly desilted particularly after the monsoon and maintained properly.
11. Dimension of the retaining wall at the toe of the OB benches within the mine to check run-off and siltation shall be based on the rain fall data.
12. Greenbelt shall be developed all along the mine lease area and haul roads. The Project proponent shall do tree plantation in at least 33% of the space, preferably along the periphery and in vacant space. Fast growing and local species will be planted. In case land is not available within the lease area or it is not possible to plant trees due to nature of land then PP will do necessary afforestation at other places / land.
13. Effective safeguard measures such as regular water sprinkling shall be carried out in critical areas prone to air pollution and having high levels of particulate matter such as loading and unloading point and transfer points. Extensive water sprinkling shall be carried out on haul roads which should be made pucca with suitable water drainage arrangements. It should be ensured that the Ambient Air Quality parameters conform to the norms prescribed by the Central Pollution Control Board in this regard.
14. The project proponent should implement suitable conservation measures to augment ground water resources in the area in consultation with the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
15. The project proponent shall if required, obtain necessary prior permission/NOC of the competent authorities for drawl of requisite quantity of water required for the project.


32







16. Suitable rainwater harvesting measures shall be planned and implemented in consultation with the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
17. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Measures shall be taken for maintenance of vehicles used in mining operations and in transportation of mineral. The mineral transportation shall be carried out through the covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded. No transportation of ore outside the mine lease area shall be carried out after the sunset.
18. No blasting shall be carried out after the sunset. Blasting operation shall be carried out only during the daytime. Controlled blasting shall be practiced. The mitigative measures for control of ground vibrations and to arrest fly rocks and boulders should be implemented.
19. Drills shall either be operated with the dust extractors or equipped with water injection system.
20. Effective safeguard measures should be taken to control fugitive emissions so as to ensure that RSPM (PM10 and PM 2.5) levels are within prescribed limits.
21. Pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the project shall be carried out and records maintained.
22. The project proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operation for conservation and protection of endangered fauna.
23. Provision shall be made for the housing of construction labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, septic tanks, safe drinking water, medical health care, etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
24. Proper safety measures as per statutory requirement are to be implemented around the mined out Pit prior to closure of site.
25. A final mine closure Plan along with corpus fund duly approved by Competent Authority shall be submitted to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and to concerned DMO in advance of final mine closure for approval.
26. The project proponent shall obtain Consent to establish and Consent to Operate from the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and effectively implement all the conditions stipulated therein

**B. General conditions**

1. No change in mining technology and scope of working should be made without prior approval of the Statutory authorities / Department of Mines, Government of Jharkhand / Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi during the EC period.
2. No change in the calendar plan including excavation, quantum of mineral and waste should be made.
3. The Project proponent shall make all internal roads pucca and shall maintain a good housekeeping by regular cleaning and wetting of the haul roads and the premises.
4. The Project proponent shall maintain register for production and dispatch and submit return to the Board.
5. The Project proponent shall not cut trees / carry out tree felling in leased out area without the permission of competent authority.



332  
   

6. Measures should be taken for control of noise levels below prescribed norms in the work environment. Workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs / muffs.
7. Industrial waste water (workshop and waste water from the mine) should be properly collected, treated so as to conform to the standards Oil and grease trap should be installed before discharge of workshop effluents.
8. Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects. Occupational health surveillance program of the workers should be undertaken periodically to observe any contractions due to exposure to dust and take corrective measures, if needed.
9. Dispensary facilities for First Aid shall be provided at site.
10. A separate environmental management / monitoring cell with suitable qualified personnel should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive, who will report directly to the Head of the Organization.
11. The funds earmarked for environmental protection measures should be kept in separate account and should not be diverted for other purpose. Year wise expenditure should be reported to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi. PP shall carry out CSR activities as per Government Guidelines (%of Profit / turnover) or at least Rs 1 per ton whichever is higher.
12. The Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi directly or through its Regional Office, shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.
13. The project proponent shall submit six monthly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated environmental clearance conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard copies as well as by e-mail) to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and to its concerned Regional Office.
14. The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the environmental clearance conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board and its concerned Regional Office The criteria pollutant levels namely ; SPM ,RSPM,SO<sub>2</sub> ,NO<sub>x</sub> (ambient levels) or critical sectoral parameters , indicated for the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the main gate of the company in the company in the public domain.
15. A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the project proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zila Parisad / Municipal Corporation, Urban Local Body and the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions/ representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the project proponent.
16. The environmental statement for each financial year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March in Form-V as is mandated to be submitted by the project proponent to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986,as amended subsequently ,shall also be put on the website of the company along the status of compliance of EC conditions and shall also to the concerned Regional Office of JSPCB by e-mail.
17. All statutory clearances shall be obtained before start of mining operations.

**C. Other points**

*Small*



32



1. The Authority reserves the right to add any new condition or modify the above conditions or to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated above are not implemented to the satisfaction of Authority or for that matter for any other Administrative reason.
2. The Environmental Clearance accorded shall be valid for the period of renewal of lease for the mine (generally 10 years). The PP shall not increase production rate and alter lease area during the validity of Environmental Clearance.
3. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposed from those submitted to SEIAA, Jharkhand for clearance, a fresh reference should be made to SEIAA to assess the adequacy of the conditions imposed and to incorporate any new conditions if required.
4. The above stipulations would be enforced among others under the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous Wastes (Management, Handling and Tran boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and rules made there under and also any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/ High Court of Jharkhand and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.
5. Any Appeal against this Environmental Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.

## **2. Proposal related to Brick Clay Mine**

### **I. Earth Clay Mining Project of M/s R.K. Infracorp (P) Ltd. at Mouza- Manatu, Thana- Balumath, Dist.- Latehar, Jharkhand (4.88 Ha).**

#### **Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad**

This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 4.88 Ha [Khata No.- 205, Plot No- 2439 to 2444]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 10.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 3.00 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

Handwritten signatures and initials at the bottom of the page, including a large signature on the left, a signature with 'BS' in the middle, and several other signatures on the right.

PP has submitted certificate from DMO & DFO. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1,24,918 m<sup>3</sup>.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Earth Clay Mining Project of M/s R.K. Infracrop Pvt Ltd. at Mouza-Manatu, Thana- Balumath, Dist.- Latehar, Jharkhand (4.88 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III**

## **II. Brick Clay Mining of M/s Smt. Nitu Singh at Village- Rajabera, P.O.- Bhandaridah, P.S.- Chandarpura, Dist.- Bokaro, Jharkhand (0.81Ha).**

### **Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow**

This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 0.81 Ha [Khata No.- 29/86, Plot No-01]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 43.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.15 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

PP has submitted certificate from DMO and affidavit w.r.t. distance from forest as required under SEIAA guidelines. The same was examined and found to be satisfactory. Affidavits with regard to distance from forest have been accepted based on decision taken by SEIAA in its 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 04/08/2014 vide item 32.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1584 m<sup>3</sup>.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Brick Clay Mining of M/s Smt. Nitu Singh at Village- Rajabera, P.O.- Bhandaridah, P.S.- Chandarpura, Dist.- Bokaro, Jharkhand (0.81 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III**

## **III. Brick Clay Mining of M/s G.D.R. Bricks at Village- West Tarup, P.O.- Ratu, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (1.00 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow**

This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 1.00 Ha [Khata No.- 59, Plot No-1391]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 51.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.20 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

PP has submitted certificate from DMO and affidavit w.r.t. distance from forest as required under SEIAA guidelines. The same was examined and found to be satisfactory. Affidavits with regard to distance from forest have been accepted based on decision taken by SEIAA in its 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 04/08/2014 vide item 32.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 2630 m<sup>3</sup>.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Brick Clay Mining of M/s G.D.R. Bricks at Village- West Tarup, P.O.- Ratu, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (1.00 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III.**

**IV. Brick Clay Mining of M/s A.R. Bricks at Village- Gutuwa, P.O.- Ratu, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (0.82 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow**

This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 0.82 Ha [Khata No.- 63, Plot No- 523]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out



CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 43.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.20 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

PP has submitted certificate from DMO and affidavit w.r.t. distance from forest as required under SEIAA guidelines. The same was examined and found to be satisfactory. Affidavits with regard to distance from forest have been accepted based on decision taken by SEIAA in its 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 04/08/2014 vide item 32.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1584 m<sup>3</sup>.




**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Brick Clay Mining of M/s A.R. Bricks at Village- Gutuwa, P.O.- Ratu, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (0.82 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III.**

**V. Ordinary Earth (Clay) Mining in Brick Kiln of M/s Jain Clay Products at Village- Bhandariya, P.O.-Baharagora Dist.- East- Singhbhum, Jharkhand (1.0 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow**

This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 1.0 Ha [Khata No.- 20, Plot No- 364, Khata No.- 28, Plot No.- 365, Khata No.- 56, Plot No.- 358, 389, 450, 383, Khata No.- 53, Plot No.- 376, Khata No.- 98, Plot No.- 370, Khata No.- 33, Plot No.- 381, Khata No.- 104, Plot No.- 386]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 37.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.25 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

SB  
  
38  
  
X  


PP has submitted certificate from DMO and affidavit w.r.t. distance from forest as required under SEIAA guidelines. The same was examined and found to be satisfactory. Affidavits with regard to distance from forest have been accepted based on decision taken by SEIAA in its 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 04/08/2014 vide item 32.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1584 m<sup>3</sup>.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Ordinary Earth (Clay) Mining in Brick Kiln of M/s Jain Clay Products at Village- Bhandariya, P.O.-Baharagora Dist.- East- Singhbhum, Jharkhand (1.0 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III.**

**VI. Ordinary Earth (Clay) Mining in Brick Kiln of M/s D.K.M Bricks of Shri Dilip Kumar at Village- Ulatu, P.O.- Ormanjhi, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (0.680 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow**


This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 0.680 Ha [Khata No.- 80, Plot No- 844 & 847]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 8.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.60 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

PP has submitted certificate from DMO and affidavit w.r.t. distance from forest as required under SEIAA guidelines. The same was examined and found to be satisfactory. Affidavits with regard to distance from forest have been accepted based on decision taken by SEIAA in its 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 04/08/2014 vide item 32.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1584 m<sup>3</sup>.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Ordinary Earth (Clay) Mining in Brick Kiln of M/s D.K.M Bricks of Shri Dilip Kumar at Village- Ulatu, P.O.- Ormanjhi, Dist.- Ranchi, Jharkhand (0.680 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III.**



Handwritten signatures and initials at the bottom of the page, including a large signature on the left, a signature with '39' above it in the center, and several other initials on the right.

**VII. Ordinary Earth (Clay) Mining in Brick Kiln of M/s S.P.W Bricks Shri Prabhu Prasad at Village & P.O- Charhi, Dist.- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand (1.00 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S ENV DAS India Pvt Ltd, Lucknow**

This is a Brick Clay Mining Project for having an area of 1.00 Ha [Khata No.- 30, Plot No- 115 & 116, Khata No.- 21, Plot No.- 119 & 120]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for new project for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category of Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions (Based on SEIAA guidelines and decision taken vide MOEF Notification dated 14.09.2006) are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of Office Memorandum No. L-11011/47/2011-IA.II(M), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> June, 2013 issued by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 8.8 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 1.50 Lakhs will be kept for Environment management.

PP has submitted certificate from DMO and affidavit w.r.t. distance from forest as required under SEIAA guidelines. The same was examined and found to be satisfactory. Affidavits with regard to distance from forest have been accepted based on decision taken by SEIAA in its 22<sup>nd</sup> Meeting held on 04/08/2014 vide item 32.

The proposed annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1584 m<sup>3</sup>.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided, the Committee recommends that the proposal for Ordinary Earth (Clay) Mining in Brick Kiln of M/s S.P.W Bricks Shri Prabhu Prasad at Village & P.O- Charhi, Dist.- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand (1.00 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure-III.**


### **Annexure-III**

#### **A. Specific Conditions**

1. The environmental clearance is subject to grant / renewal of mining permit by the Department of Mines, Government of Jharkhand to PP Latest Minor Mineral Concession Rules of Govt. of Jharkhand and all other Statutory Conditions as imposed by various agencies / District Authorities are complied with.
2. No mining shall be undertaken in the forest area without obtaining requisite prior forestry clearance. Minimum distance shall be maintained from Reserved / Protected Forest as stipulated in SEIAA Guidelines.



3. Environmental clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / MOEF Guidelines applicable to Minor Minerals / Brick Earth Mining.
4. Environmental clearance is subject to obtaining clearance under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 from the competent authority, as may be applicable to this project (in case any fauna occurs / is found in the Project area). No damage is to be done to fauna if found in ML area (as mentioned in various schedules). In case found they should be given protection, collected alive with the help of the expert and transferred them or handing over them to the concerned authorities.
5. The activity associated with borrowing / excavation of 'brick earth' and 'ordinary earth' for purpose of brick manufacturing, construction of roads, embankments etc. shall not involve blasting.
6. The borrowing / excavation activity shall be restricted to a maximum depth of 2 m below general ground level at the site. However – excavation shall not intercept with ground water level at site.
7. The borrowing / excavation activity shall not alter the natural drainage pattern of the area.
8. The borrowing / excavated pit shall be restored by the project proponent for useful purpose (s).
9. Appropriate fencing all around the borrowed / excavated pit shall be made to prevent any mishap.
10. Measures shall be taken to prevent dust emission by covering of borrowed / excavated earth during transportation.
11. Safeguards shall be adopted against health risks on account of breeding of vectors in the water bodies created due to borrowing / excavation of earth.
12. Workers / labours shall be provided with facilities for drinking water and sanitation.
13. A considerable space from mine pit shall be left from the boundary of adjoining field, having, minimum width of 5M.
14. A minimum distance of 15 m from any civil structure shall be kept from the periphery of any excavation area.
15. The project proponent shall ensure that no natural watercourse and / or water resources shall be obstructed due to any mining operations. Adequate measures shall be taken for conservation and protection of the first order and the second order streams, if any emanating / passing through the mine lease area during the course of mining operation.
16. The top soil, if any shall temporarily be stored at earmarked site(s) only and it should not be kept unutilized for long. The topsoil shall be used for land reclamation and plantation.
17. Greenbelt shall be developed all along the mine lease area and haul roads. The Project proponent shall do tree plantation in at least 33% of the space, preferably along the periphery and in vacant space. Fast growing and local species will be planted. In case land is not available within the lease area or it is not possible to plant trees due to nature of land then PP will do necessary afforestation at other places / land.
18. Effective safeguard measures such as regular water sprinkling shall be carried out in critical areas prone to air pollution and having high levels of particulate matter such as loading and unloading point and transfer points. Extensive water sprinkling shall be carried out on haul roads which should be made pucca with suitable water drainage arrangements. It should be ensured that the Ambient Air Quality parameters conform to the norms prescribed by the Central Pollution Control Board in this regard.




19. The project proponent should implement suitable conservation measures to augment ground water resources in the area as per guidelines of Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
20. The project proponent shall if required, obtain necessary prior permission/NOC of the competent authorities for drawl of requisite quantity of water required for the project.
21. Suitable rainwater harvesting scheme shall be planned and implemented as per guidelines of Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
22. Vehicular emissions shall be kept under control and regularly monitored. Measures shall be taken for maintenance of vehicles used in mining operations and in transportation of mineral. The mineral transportation shall be carried out through the covered trucks only and the vehicles carrying the mineral shall not be overloaded. No transportation of ore outside the mine lease area shall be carried out after the sunset.
23. Effective safeguard measures should be taken to control fugitive emissions so as to ensure that RSPM (PM10 and PM 2.5) levels are within prescribed limits.
24. Pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the project shall be carried out and records maintained.
25. The project proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operation for conservation and protection of endangered fauna.
26. Provision shall be made for the housing of labour within the site with all necessary infrastructure and facilities such as fuel for cooking, mobile toilets, septic tanks, safe drinking water, medical health care, etc. The housing may be in the form of temporary structures to be removed after the completion of the project.
27. Proper safety measures as per statutory requirement are to be implemented around the mined out Pit prior to closure of site.
28. A final mine closure scheme plan of mine pit shall be submitted to concerned DMO in advance of final mine closure for approval.
29. The excavated earth – by such Brick mining pit owner – shall be supplied only to owners of manufacturing of bricks –having “Consent to Operate” under the provision of section 25 and 26 of The water (prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1974 and under section 21 of The Air (prevention and control of pollution) Act, 1981.
30. All haul roads connection to mining pit head to concerned Brick kilns – shall have muram / Brick paved road to avoid fugitive emission during transportation activities. In addition to this there shall be tree plantation in both side along the haul road.
31. Prime agricultural land shall not be used for earth mining – until and unless – permission of conversion of land has been obtained by component authority.


#### **B. General conditions**

1. No change in mining methodology and scope of working should be made without prior approval of the Statutory authorities / Department of Mines, Government of Jharkhand / Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi during the EC period.
2. No change in the calendar plan including excavation, quantum of mineral and waste should be made.

Sub




42



3. The Project proponent shall make internal roads pucca and shall maintain a good housekeeping by regular cleaning and wetting of the haul roads and the premises.
4. The Project proponent shall maintain register for production and dispatch and submit return to the Board.
5. The Project proponent shall not cut trees / carry out tree felling in leased out area without the permission of competent authority.
6. Measures should be taken for control of noise levels below prescribed norms in the work environment. Workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs / muffs.
7. Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects. Occupational health surveillance program of the workers should be undertaken periodically to observe any contractions due to exposure to dust and take corrective measures, if needed.
8. Dispensary facilities for First Aid shall be provided at site.
9. The funds earmarked for environmental protection measures should be kept in separate account and should not be diverted for other purpose. Year wise expenditure should be reported to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi. PP shall carry out CSR activities as per Government Guidelines (% of Profit / turnover).
10. The Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi directly or through its Regional Office, shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.
11. The project proponent shall submit six monthly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated environmental clearance conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard copies as well as by e-mail) to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi its concerned Regional Office and to SEIAA.
12. The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the environmental clearance conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board and its concerned Regional Office The criteria pollutant levels namely ; SPM ,RSPM,SO<sub>2</sub> ,NO<sub>x</sub> (ambient levels) or critical sectoral parameters , indicated for the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the main gate of the company in the company in the public domain.
13. A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the project proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zila Parisad / Municipal Corporation, Urban Local Body and the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions/ representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the project proponent.
14. The environmental statement for each financial year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March in Form-V as is mandated to be submitted by the project proponent to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986,as amended subsequently ,shall also be put on the website of the company along the status of compliance of EC conditions and shall also to the concerned Regional Office of JSPCB by e-mail.
15. All statutory clearances shall be obtained before start of mining operations.

**C. Other points**

The image shows four handwritten signatures or initials in black ink. From left to right: a large, stylized signature; a signature with a long horizontal line extending to the right; a signature with a vertical line extending upwards; and a signature with a horizontal line extending to the right.

1. The Authority reserves the right to add any new condition or modify the above conditions or to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated above are not implemented to the satisfaction of Authority or for that matter for any other Administrative reason.
2. The Environmental Clearance will be valid till the period of land lease and subject to grant / renewal of mining permit which are generally granted for period of 6 month at one time. The PP shall not increase production rate and alter lease area during the validity of Environmental Clearance.
3. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposed from those submitted to SEIAA, Jharkhand for clearance, a fresh reference should be made to SEIAA to assess the adequacy of the conditions imposed and to incorporate any new conditions if required.
4. The above stipulations would be enforced among others under the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous Wastes (Management, Handling and Tran boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and rules made there under and also any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/ High Court of Jharkhand and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.
5. Any Appeal against this Environmental Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.

### **3. Proposal related to Sand Mine**

#### **I. Dumaro Sand Deposit in the River Bed of Deonand and Chatti River of Sri Rahul Kumar at Village- Dumaro, Tehsil- Chandwa, Dist.- Latehar, Jharkhand (8.29 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Safe Environment, East Singhbhum, RQP- Dr. G.S. Jaiswal**

This is a Sand Mining Project for having an area of 8.29 Ha [Khata No.- 41, Plot No.- 849, 1011, 1023 & 1069]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for grant of mine lease after auction vide DMO's allotment letter no.- 557, dated- 05.08.2015 for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and subsequently notification office order the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines dated 07.05.2013 with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 18.50 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 7.00 Lakh has been indicated for Environment management.

The proposed estimated reserve is 35,31,288 cum and annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 1,00,008 cum per annum.

PP has submitted certificates from DMO. PP has submitted Affidavit that there is no forest within 250 m and no intake well, breeding point and bridge within 500 of mine lease. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Dumaro Sand Deposit in the River Bed of Deonand and Chatti River of Sri Rahul Kumar at Village- Dumaro, Tehsil- Chandwa, Dist.- Latehar, Jharkhand (8.29 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure IV.**

## **II. Bara Sand Mine Project of M/s Arjun Prasad Gupta at Village- Bara, Taluka- Jama, Dist.- Dumka, Jharkhand (15.087 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Dr. R. N. Singh**


This is a Sand Mining Project for having an area of 15.087 Ha [Plot No.- 1, 431 & 749]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for grant of mine lease after auction vide DMO's allotment letter no.- 817, dated- 18.05.2015 for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and subsequently notification office order the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines dated 07.05.2013 with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 4.30 Crores and a provision of Rs 5.49 Lakh has been indicated for Environment management.


The proposed estimated reserve is 3,14,529 cum and annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 2,91,230 cum per annum.

PP has submitted certificates from DMO. PP has submitted Affidavits that there is no forest within 250 m and no intake well, breeding point and bridge within 500 of mine lease. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Bara Sand Mine Project of M/s Arjun Prasad Gupta at Village- Bara, Taluka- Jama, Dist.- Dumka, Jharkhand (15.087 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA**



45



subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure IV.

**III. Bamdol & Kuliyak Sand Mining Project of M/s Nirmal Kumar Dubey at Village- Bamdol & Kuliyak, Thana- Baharagora, Dist.- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand (Bamdol- 1.09 Ha & Kuliyak- 1.62 Ha = 2.71 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Sri B. Tirkey**

This is a Sand Mining Project for having an area of 2.71 Ha [Bamdol- Plot No.- 229 & 336 A and Kuliyak- Plot No.- 229 & 336]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for grant of mine lease after auction vide DMO's allotment letter no.- 2180, dated- 1.10.2013 for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and subsequently notification office order the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines dated 07.05.2013 with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 50.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 5.48 Lakh has been indicated for Environment management.

The proposal is for mine lease having two blocks. The area of Bamdol- 1.09 Ha & Kuliyak- 1.62 Ha and the distance between the two blocks as informed during presentation is 250 m. Individual approved mine plans for all two blocks have been submitted.

The proposed estimated reserve is Bamdol – 8600 cum & Kuliyak – 6272 cum and total annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 11,154 cum per annum (Bamdol – 6450 cum per annum & Kuliyak – 4704 cum per annum).

PP has submitted certificates from DMO. PP has submitted Affidavits that there is no forest within 250 m and no intake well, breeding point and bridge within 500 of mine lease. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Bamdol & Kuliyak Sand Mining Project of M/s Nirmal Kumar Dubey at Village- Bamdol & Kuliyak, Thana- Baharagora, Dist.- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand (Bamdol- 1.09 Ha & Kuliyak- 1.62 Ha = 2.71 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure IV.**

5/11

**IV. Bankata Sand Mine of Sri Nirmal Kumar Dubey at Village- Bankata, P.O. & Thana- Baharagora, Dist.- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand (2.32 Ha).**

**Consultant- M/S Green Cindia Consulting Pvt Ltd, Ghaziabad, RQP- Dr. R. N. Singh**

This is a Sand Mining Project for having an area of 2.32 Ha [Plot No.- 3161 A & 3162 A]. The committee noted that as per Form I it is a proposal for grant of mine lease after auction vide DMO's allotment letter no.- 2147, dated- 28.09.2013 for which PP is seeking EC. Considering the fact that it is B2 Category Project - as per EIA Notification dated 14.09.2006 and subsequently notification office order the environmental impact assessment as well as Public Consultation is not required. The committee felt that TOR is not required, nor any formal EIA/EMP is to be prepared in the instant case. These prescribed exemptions are recommended by the Committee in the instant case.

The project was reviewed in light of OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 by MoEF and SEIAA guidelines dated 07.05.2013 with respect to proposed Mining Scheme, Environmental protection measures proposed to be adopted, proposal for carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health, etc. In view of the size of the pit, production rate and the mineral mined and the assurance given by PP that he will give due consideration to environment by maintaining road from pit to kiln and water sprinkling on same, carrying out CSR activities for socio-economic development, development of green belt, due consideration of occupational health of persons engaged in mining. The committee felt that the operation of the mine will have not have any major impact on the surroundings. The indicated project cost is Rs 45.00 Lakhs and a provision of Rs 4.27 Lakh has been indicated for Environment management.

The proposed estimated reserve is 16,912 cum and annual production capacity as per Form I has been indicated as 14,270 cum per annum.



PP has submitted certificates from DMO. PP has submitted Affidavits that there is no forest within 250 m and no intake well, breeding point and bridge within 500 of mine lease. The informations were examined and found to be satisfactory.

**Based on the presentation made and information provided the Committee decided that the proposal for Bankata Sand Mine of Sri Nirmal Kumar Dubey at Village- Bankata, P.O. & Thana- Baharagora, Dist.- East Singhbhum, Jharkhand (2.32 Ha) be recommended for consideration of SEIAA subject to above mentioned observation for grant of EC. The various conditions for grant of EC are given at Annexure IV.**

**Annexure IV**

**A. Specific Conditions**

1. The Environmental clearance is subject to grant of Mining Lease and will be co-terminus with the mining lease period.
2. The mining work will be open-cast and exclusively manual. No mechanical work or drilling / blasting should be involved at any stage.




3. The mining is confined to extraction of sand from the river bed only. No mining is to be carried out in water stream. It shall be ensured that there shall be no mining beyond 03 m or upto water level which ever is less in the issue of Sand Mining or Sand / Gravel Mining should be raised 15 meter away from the river side or mining should be restricted from the distance equal or more than 1/5 module of river width shall be left on both the banks of precise area to control and avoid erosion of river bank.
4. The project proponent shall ensure that wherever deployment of labour attracts the Mines Act, the provision thereof shall be strictly followed. Also PP shall ensure that stipulations mentioned in MoEF OM No.- J-13012/12/2013-IA-II(I), dated- 24<sup>th</sup> December, 2013 and SEIAA, Jharkhand guideline dated 07.05.2013 are adhered to.
5. For the green belt development in the mining area / transport road sides / other land area saplings available in the forest nursery / private nursery should also be considered for the mentioned purpose instead of the Trees / Plants mentioned in the PFR / Presentation copies of the proposed mine.
6. No sand mining activities will be carried out in upstream or downstream within 500 m of railways, road, bridge, water intake, wires & notified aquarium or breeding places.
7. Project Proponent shall appoint a Monitoring Committee to monitor the replenishment study, traffic management, levels of production, River Bank erosion and maintenance of Road etc and shall submit report to SEIAA, JSPCB and DMO after every monsoon i.e. by end of November (30<sup>th</sup>) of that year. Also after receding of flood / water (after monsoon) a study has to be conducted in mining lease area and list of flora & fauna is to be prepared and submit report to SEIAA, JSPCB and DMO.
8. Environmental clearance is subject to obtaining clearance under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 from the competent authority, as may be applicable to this project (in case any fauna occurs / is found in the Project area).
9. The project proponent shall take all precautionary measures during mining operation for conservation and protection of endangered fauna.
10. The project proponent shall prepare the plan of mining in conformity with the mine lease conditions and the Rules prescribed in this regard clearly showing the no work zone in the mine lease i.e. the distance from the bank of river to be left un-worked (Non mining area), distance from the bridges etc. It shall be ensured that no mining shall be carried out during the monsoon season. Due consideration will be given to points raised in Supreme Court judgement and SEIAA guidelines.
11. The project proponent shall undertake adequate safeguard measures during extraction of river bed material and ensure that due to this activity the hydro-geological regime of the surrounding area shall not be affected.
12. The project proponent will provide protective respiratory devices to workers working in dusty areas and they shall also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects. Periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the project shall be carried out and records maintained. For the purpose, schedule of health examination of the workers should be drawn and followed accordingly.



13. Solid waste material viz., gutkha pouchs, plastic bags, glasses etc. to be generated during project activity will be separately stored in bins and managed as per Solid Waste Management rules.
14. Project falling within in 1.0 KM area of Wild Life Sanctuary is to obtain a clearance from National Board Wild Life (NBWL) even if the eco-sensitive zone is not earmarked.
15. Natural /customary paths used by villagers should not be obstructed at any time by the activities proposed under the project. Transportation of sand is to be carried out with consent of Villagers and Trucks are to be covered with Tarpaulin.
16. Local flora may be planted in the Core Zone of the Mining Lease area.
17. Concealing factual data or submission of false/fabricated data and failure to comply with any of the conditions mentioned above may result in withdrawal of this clearance and attract action under the provisions of Environment (Protection) Act, 1986.
18. Environmental clearance is subject to final order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India / MOEF Guidelines applicable to Minor Minerals.
19. PP shall maintain minimum distance from Reserved / Protected Forests as stipulated in applicable guidelines.
20. There shall be no external dump(s). Monitoring and management of rehabilitated areas shall continue until the vegetation becomes self-sustaining. Compliance status shall be submitted to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and its nearest Regional Office on six monthly basis.
21. The project proponent should implement suitable conservation measures to augment ground water resources in the area in consultation with the Ground Water Directorate, Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board.
22. Effective safeguard measures should be taken to control fugitive emissions so as to ensure that RSPM (PM10 and PM 2.5) levels are within prescribed limits.
23. Pre-placement medical examination and periodical medical examination of the workers engaged in the project shall be carried out and records maintained.
24. Proper safety measures as per statutory requirement are to be implemented around the mined out Pit prior to closure of site.
25. A final mine closure Plan along with corpus fund duly approved by Competent Authority shall be submitted to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and to concerned DMO in advance of final mine closure for approval.
26. The project proponent shall obtain Consent to establish and Consent to Operate from the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and effectively implement all the conditions stipulated therein

**B. General conditions**

1. Any change in mining area Plot / Khata numbers, entailing capacity addition with change in process and or mining technology modernization and scope of working shall again require prior Environmental Clearance as per the provisions of EIA Notification, 2006 (as amended), SEIAA guidelines and Supreme Court judgement on the subject.
2. It shall be ensured that standards related to ambient air quality/effluent as prescribed by the Ministry of Environment & Forests are strictly complied with. Water sprinklers and other



dust control majors should be applied to take-care of dust generated during mining operation, Sprinkling of water on haul roads to control dust will be ensured by the project proponent.

3. All necessary statutory clearances shall be obtained before start of mining operations. If this condition is violated, the clearance shall be automatically deemed to have been cancelled.
4. Parking of vehicles should not be made on public places.
5. No tree-felling will be done in the leased area, except only with the permission of Forest Department.
6. No wildlife habitat will be infringed.
7. It shall be ensured that excavation of minor mineral does not disturb or change the underlying soil characteristics of the river bed / basin, where, mining is carried out.
8. It shall be ensured that mining operation will not in any way disturb the, velocity and flow pattern of the river water significantly.
9. It shall be ensured that there is no fauna dependant on the river bed or areas dose to mining for its nesting, primary survey of flora and fauna shall be carried out and data / report on the same, vetted by the competent authority shall be submitted to the RO, JSPCB and SEIAA within 03 months of grant of EC.
10. The proponent shall observe every 15 day for nesting of any turtle hill stream fishes in the area. Based on the observations so made, if turtle nesting is observed, necessary safeguard measures shall be taken in consultation with the State Wildlife Department. For the purpose, awareness shall be created amongst the workers about the nesting sites so that such sites, if any, are identified by the workers during operations of the mine for taking required safeguard measures. In this regards the safety notified zone should be left so that the habitat / nesting area is undisturbed.
11. Hydro- geological study shall be carried out by reputed organization / institute within six months and establish that mining in the said area will not adversely affect the ground water regime. The report shall be submitted to the DMO, JSPCB and SEIAA within six months. In case adverse impact is observed / anticipated, mining shall not be carried out.
12. Adequate protection against dust and other environmental pollution due to mining shall be made so that the habitations (if any) close by the lease area are not adversely affected. The status of implementation of measures taken shall be reported to the DMO, JSPCB and SEIAA and this activity should be completed before the start of sand mining.
13. Need-based assessment for the nearby villages shall be conducted to study economic measures which can help in improving the quality of life of economically weaker section of society. Income generating projects / tools such as development of fodder farm, fruit bearing orchards, vocational training etc. can form a part of such program me. The project proponent shall provide separate budget for community development activities and income generating programmes.
14. Green cover development shall be carried out following CPCB guidelines including selection of plant species and in consultation with the local DFO / Horticulture Officer. At least 33 % equivalent to lease area is to be covered with local species of plant. Plantation is to be carried out

- along Village road and other roads, community places like schools, temples, religious places, panchayat bhawan, etc.
15. Transportation of materials shall be done by covering the trucks / tractors with tarpaulin or other suitable mechanism to avoid fugitive emissions and spillage of mineral/dust.
  16. Special Measures shall be adopted to protect the nearby settlements from the impacts of mining activities, Maintenance of Village roads through which transportation of minerals is to be undertaken, shall be carried out by the project proponent regularly at his own expenses.
  17. Measures for prevention & control of soil erosion and management of silt shall be undertaken. Protection of dumps against erosion, if any, shall be carried out with geo textile matting or other suitable material.
  18. The project proponent shall obtain necessary prior permission of the competent Authorities for withdrawal of requisite quantity of water (surface water and groundwater), required for the project.
  19. Safety measures to be taken for the safety of the people working at the mine lease area should be given, which would also include measure for treatment of bite of poisonous reptile / insect like snake. Periodical and Annual medical check up of workers as per Mines Act and they should be covered under ESI as per rule.
  20. No change in the calendar plan including excavation, quantum of mineral and waste should be made.
  21. The Project proponent shall maintain register for production and dispatch and submit return to the SEIAA / JSPCB / concerned authorities.
  22. Measures should be taken for control of noise levels below prescribed norms in the work environment. Workers engaged in operations of HEMM, etc. should be provided with ear plugs / muffs.
  23. Industrial waste water (workshop and waste water from the mine) should be properly collected, treated so as to conform to the standards Oil and grease trap should be installed before discharge of workshop effluents.
  24. Personnel working in dusty areas should wear protective respiratory devices and they should also be provided with adequate training and information on safety and health aspects. Occupational health surveillance program of the workers should be undertaken periodically to observe any contractions due to exposure to dust and take corrective measures, if needed.
  25. Dispensary facilities for First Aid shall be provided at site.
  26. A separate environmental management / monitoring cell with suitable qualified personnel should be set-up under the control of a Senior Executive, who will report directly to the Head of the Organization.
  27. The funds earmarked for environmental protection measures should be kept in separate account and should not be diverted for other purpose. Year wise expenditure should be reported to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi. PP shall carry out CSR activities as per Government Guidelines (%of Profit / turnover).
  28. The Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi directly or through its Regional Office, shall monitor compliance of the stipulated conditions. The project authorities should extend full cooperation to the officer (s) by furnishing the requisite data / information / monitoring reports.
  29. The project proponent shall submit six monthly reports on the status of compliance of the stipulated environmental clearance conditions including results of monitored data (both in hard

copies as well as by e-mail) to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board, Ranchi and to its concerned Regional Office.

30. The proponent shall upload the status of compliance of the environmental clearance conditions, including results of monitored data on their website and shall update the same periodically. It shall simultaneously be sent to Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board and its concerned Regional Office. The criteria pollutant levels namely ; SPM ,RSPM,SO<sub>2</sub> ,NO<sub>x</sub> (ambient levels) or critical sectoral parameters , indicated for the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the project shall be monitored and displayed at a convenient location near the main gate of the company in the company in the public domain.
31. A copy of the clearance letter shall be sent by the project proponent to concerned Panchayat, Zila Parisad / Municipal Corporation, Urban Local Body and the Local NGO, if any, from whom suggestions/ representations, if any, were received while processing the proposal. The clearance letter shall also be put on the website of the Company by the project proponent.
32. The environmental statement for each financial year ending 31<sup>st</sup> March in Form-V as is mandated to be submitted by the project proponent to the Jharkhand State Pollution Control Board as prescribed under the Environment (Protection) Rules, 1986,as amended subsequently ,shall also be put on the website of the company along the status of compliance of EC conditions and shall also to the concerned Regional Office of JSPCB by e-mail.
33. All statutory clearances shall be obtained before start of mining operations.

**C. Other points**

1. The Authority reserves the right to add any new condition or modify the above conditions or to revoke the clearance if conditions stipulated above are not implemented to the satisfaction of Authority or for that matter for any other Administrative reason.
2. The Environmental Clearance accorded shall be valid for the period of grant of lease for the mine. The PP shall not increase production rate and alter lease area during the validity of Environmental Clearance.
3. In case of any deviation or alteration in the project proposed from those submitted to SEIAA, Jharkhand for clearance, a fresh reference should be made to SEIAA to assess the adequacy of the conditions imposed and to incorporate any new conditions if required.
4. The above stipulations would be enforced among others under the Water (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, the Air (Prevention & Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, the Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, Hazardous Wastes (Management, Handling and Tran boundary Movement) Rules, 2008 and the Public Liability Insurance Act, 1991 along with their amendments and rules made there under and also any other orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India/ High Court of Jharkhand and any other Court of Law relating to the subject matter.
5. Any Appeal against this Environmental Clearance shall lie with the National Green Tribunal, if preferred, within a period of 30 days as prescribed under Section 16 of the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010.

**B. Projects recommended to SEIAA for consideration of issue of TOR.**

1. **LPG Bottling Plant of M/s Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Limited at Hazaribagh Industrial Area, Village- Chano, P.O.- Oriya, Dist.- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand.**

## **Consultant- M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, Vishakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh**


The case is pertaining to storage and bottling of LPG hence is listed as SN 6 (b) of EIA Notification 2006 and is required to obtain prior EC under the provisions of EIA Notification. The proposed project is replacement of existing LPG storage of 1x90 MT by installing 3 x 150 MT Mounded Storage Vessel. LPG shall be brought in Bulk LPG Tanker. The plant is proposed at Hazaribagh Industrial Area, Village- Chano, P.O.- Oriya, Dist.- Hazaribagh, Jharkhand which is located at a distance of 4.90 Km from Hazaribagh Railway Station. Gtahoand Air strip is at a distance of 28.15 Kms. It was informed that the additional units are to be installed in the existing area of 5.58 acres. Features of the project and the location, which reveals that the industry operation involves unloading of LPG coming through road tankers into bullets / mounded storage, filling of LPG into cylinders and distribution of the same through packed trucks. The total water requirement during operation phase 5-8 KLD existing and additional requirement for expansion is Nil, which shall be obtained from existing ground water sources.

The project authorities along with their consultant M/s SV Enviro Labs & Consultants, Vishakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh gave a detailed presentation on the salient features of the project and proposed environmental protection measures to be undertaken along with the draft Terms of Reference for preparation of EIA / EMP report. During presentation PP has requested waiver from Public Hearing. As per MoEF & CC OM no.- J-11013 / 36/2014-IA-I, dated- 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2014 "This ministry has received representations from various Industrial Associations on the above said Office Memorandum. These representations have been considered by the Ministry. Based on the consideration, the undersigned has been directed to clarify that the exemption from public consultation, as provided for under para 7 (I) III. Stage (3)(i)(b) of EIA Notification, 2006 is available to the projects or activities or units located within the Industrial Estates or Parks, which were notified prior to 14.09.2006, i.e. the EIA Notification, 2006 coming in to force "

**Based on the information contained in the documents submitted and the presentation made before the State Level Expert Appraisal Committee (SEAC) during its Meetings held during 10<sup>th</sup> to 15<sup>th</sup> September, 2015 the Committee recommends issuing of TORs for consideration of SEIAA for undertaking detailed EIA / EMP study.**

**The TORs prescribed for undertaking detailed EIA study are as follows:**




1. Executive summary of the project.
2. Project description and the project benefits. Process details along with the proposed allied facilities and design details of all the tanks with animated model (existing and proposed units). Layout plan with the provision of truck parking area earmarking of area for parking of Lorries at a remote location to avoid congestion. Details of the storage and technical specification with the safety aspects & standards.
3. Does the company have a well laid down Environment Policy approved by its Board of Directors? If so, it may be detailed in the EIA report.
4. Does the Environment Policy prescribe for standard operating process/procedures to bring into focus any infringement / deviation / violation of the environmental or forest norms / conditions? If so, it may be detailed in the EIA.



5. What is the hierarchical system or Administrative order of the company to deal with the environmental issues and for ensuring compliance with the EC conditions. Details of this system may be given.
6. Does the company have a system of reporting of non compliances / violations of environmental norms to the Board of Directors of the company and / or shareholders or stakeholders at large? This reporting mechanism should be detailed in the EIA report.
7. Details of the Environment Management cell having qualified persons with proper background.
8. The study area will comprise of 10 km zone around the project.
9. Land use of the study area delineating forest area, agricultural land, grazing land, wildlife sanctuary and national park, migratory routes of fauna, water bodies, human settlements and other ecological features should be indicated.
10. Land use plan of the project area should be prepared to encompass Pre-operational and operational phases and submitted.
11. High Resolution Satellite Imagery of the proposed area clearly showing the land use and other ecological features of the study area should be furnished.
12. A Certificate from the Competent Authority in the State Forest Department should be provided, confirming the involvement of forest land, if any in the project area, or otherwise, based on land use classification (revenue record) as also in terms of the definition of forest as pronounced in the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the matter of T.N. Godavarman Vs. Union of India. In the event of any claim by the project proponent regarding the status of forests, the site may be inspected by the State Forest Department along with the Regional Office of the Ministry to ascertain the status of forests, based on which the Certificate in this regard as mentioned above be issued. In all such cases, it would be desirable for representative of the State Forest Department to assist the Expert Appraisal Committees.
13. Status of forestry clearance for the broken up area and virgin forestland involved in the project including deposition of net present value (NPV) and compensatory afforestation (CA). A copy of the forestry clearance should also be furnished.
14. Implementation of status of recognition of forest rights under the Scheduled Tribes and other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 should be indicated.
15. Impact of the project on the wildlife in the surrounding and any other protected area and accordingly detailed mitigative measures required should be worked out with cost implications and submitted.
16. The vegetation in the RF / PF area with necessary details should be given.
17. A study shall be got done to ascertain the impact of the project on wildlife of the area.
18. Location of National Parks, Sanctuaries, Biosphere Reserves, Wildlife Corridors, Tiger/Elephant Reserves (existing as well as proposed), if any, within 10 km of the project should be clearly indicated supported by a location map duly authenticated by Chief Wildlife Warden. Necessary clearance, if any, as may be applicable to such projects due to proximity of the ecologically sensitive areas as mentioned above should be obtained from the State Wildlife Department / Chief Wildlife Warden under the Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 and copy furnished. In case, there is no such Eco-sensitive area within 10 km, the boundary of the nearest Eco-sensitive area with its distance shall be marked in a drawing and included in EIA / EMP Report.
19. A detailed biological study for the study area shall be carried out. Details of flora and fauna, duly authenticated, separately for core and buffer zone should be furnished based on primary

field survey clearly indicating the Schedule of the fauna present. In case of any scheduled-I fauna found in the study area, the necessary plan for their conservation should be prepared in consultation with State Forest and Wildlife Department and details furnished. Necessary allocation of funds for Implementing the same should be made as part of the project cost.

20. Impact, if any, of change of land use should be given.
21. Proposal for safety buffer zone around the proposed site with map.
22. List of Industries within 10km radius of the project.
23. R&R plan / compensation details for the project affected people should be furnished. While preparing the R&R plan, the Jharkhand State and National Rehabilitation & Resettlement Policy should be kept in view. In respect of SCs / STs and other weaker sections, need based sample survey, family-wise, should be undertaken to assess their requirement and action programmes prepared accordingly integrating the sectoral programme of line departments of the State Government.
24. Collection of one season (non-monsoon) primary baseline data on ambient air quality, water quality, noise level, soil and flora and fauna. Site-specific meteorological data should also be collected. The location of the monitoring stations should be justified. Date wise collected baseline AAQ data should form part of EIA and EMP report. The mineralogical composition of RSPM / SPM particularly for free silica should be given. There should be at least one monitoring station within 500 m of the project in the pre-dominant downwind direction. The mineralogical composition of PM10 particularly for free silica should be given.
25. Collection of one complete season (non-monsoon) primary base line data (along-with dates of monitoring) on environmental quality such as air (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub> & NO<sub>x</sub>), noise, water (surface and ground water), soil, climatology & meteorology. Background levels of hydrocarbons (methane & non-methane HC) and VOCs should also be collected.
26. The monitoring should be conducted as per Central Pollution Control Board's guidelines and parameters for water testing for both ground water as per relevant guidelines.
27. Surface water ground water, soil, noise and ambient air quality be monitored at least at eight stations / locations around the proposed site. At least one monitoring station in the upwind / downstream / non-impact non polluting area as a control station.
28. Air quality modelling should be carried out for prediction of impact of the project on the air quality of the area. It should also take into account the impact of movement of vehicles for transportation of LPG. The details of the model used and input parameters used for modelling should be provided. The air quality contours may be shown on a location map clearly indicating the location of the site, location of sensitive receptors, if any and the habitation. The wind roses showing pre-dominant wind direction may also be indicated on the map.
29. The water requirement for the project, its availability and source to be furnished. A detailed water balance should also be provided. Fresh water requirement for the project should be indicated.
30. Necessary clearance from the Competent Authority for drawl of requisite quantity of water for the project should be provided.
31. Details of water conservation measures proposed to be adopted in the project should be given.
32. Impact of the project on the water quality both surface and groundwater should be assessed and necessary safeguard measures, if any required should be provided.
33. Based on actual monitored data, it may clearly be shown whether working will intersect groundwater. Necessary data and documentation in this regard may be provided. In case the



working will intersect groundwater table, a detailed hydro geological study should be undertaken and report furnished. Necessary permission from Central Ground Water Authority for working below ground water and for pumping of ground water should also be obtained and copy furnished.

34. Details of any stream, seasonal or otherwise, passing through lease project and modification / diversion proposed, if any and the impact of the same on the hydrology should be brought out.
35. Details of rainwater harvesting in the project should be provided. The same should be got approved from Ground Water Directorate Government of Jharkhand / Central Ground Water Board / Authority.
36. Quantity of solid waste generation to be estimated and details (covering both existing and proposed units) for its disposal and management should be provided. Plan for disposal of Oily and Hazardous waste should be included and quantities indicated.
37. Mode of transport of and storage of petroleum products to and from the plant (covering both existing and proposed units), risk analysis during transportation and safety measures thereby. Impact on local transport infrastructure due to the project should be indicated. Projected increase in truck traffic as a result of the project in the present road network (including those outside the project area) should be worked out, indicating whether it is capable of handling the increased load. Arrangement for improving the infrastructure, if contemplated (including action to be taken by other agencies such as State Government) should be covered.
38. Details of the infrastructure facilities to be provided for the workers should be included in the EIA report.
39. Phase-wise plan of greenbelt development, plantation and compensatory afforestation should be charted clearly indicating the area to be covered under plantation and the species to be planted. The details of plantation already done should be given.
40. Occupational health impact of project should be anticipated and preventive measures initiated. Details of proposed Occupational Health Surveillance program for the employees and other labour should be provided. Details of pre placement medical examination and periodical medical examination schedules should be incorporated in the EMP.
41. Public health implication of the project and related activities for the population in the impact zone should be systematically evaluated and the proposed remedial measures should be detailed along with budgetary allocation.
42. Measures of socio economic significance and influence to the local community proposed to be provided by project proponent should be indicated. As far as possible, quantitative dimensions may be given with time frame for implementation.
43. Detailed environmental management plan to mitigate the environmental impacts which, should inter-alia also include the impact due to change of land use, due to loss of agricultural land and grazing land, if any, occupational health impacts besides other impacts of the projects. The EMP shall also include
  - Details and frequency of the Mock Drills.
  - Details of Installation of peizometric holes to monitor the ground water quality after commissioning of Plant.
  - Detailed solid waste generation, collection, segregation its recycling and reuse, treatment and disposal.
  - Assessment of impact on air, water, soil, solid/hazardous waste and noise levels.
  - Plan for fire fighting facility as per OISD117 norms




- Details of proposed preventive measures for leakages and accident.
  - Details of Vapour Recovery System.
44. Risk Assessment & Disaster Management Plan
- i. Identification of hazards
  - ii. Consequence Analysis
  - iii. Preventive measures
  - iv. Risk assessment should also include leakages during storage handling transportation and proposed measures for risk reduction
  - v. Fire and explosion hazard.
45. Risk Assessment should also include follow up/compliance to safety & hazardous material management facilities possibility of fire and explosion accident, Risk assessment for accidents at site and its impact on adjoining area risk, mitigation measures disaster management plan on site & offsite emergency plan.
46. A separate chapter on Onsite and off site emergency plan as approved by the Health & Safety department along with Rapid risk analyses report of the plant is to be conducted and included in the EIA / EMP Report.
47. Details of litigation pending against the project, if any, with direction / order passed by any Court of Law against the project should be given.
48. The EIA-EMP report covering the impacts and management plan for the project specific activities on the environment of the region and the environmental quality- all water, noise, land, biotic community through collection of data and Information, generation of data on impacts for a rated capacity.
49. Detailed EMP to mitigate the adverse impacts due to project along-with item wise cost of its implementation (capital and recurring.)

Besides the above, the below mentioned general points should also be followed:-

- a) A note confirming compliance of the TOR, with cross referencing of the relevant sections / pages of the EIA report should be provided.
- b) All documents may be properly referenced with index and continuous page numbering.
- c) Where data are presented in the report especially in tables, the period in which the data were collected and the sources should be indicated.
- d) Where the documents provided are in a language other than English, an English translation should be provided.
- e) The Questionnaire for environmental appraisal of petroleum product & storage Bottling projects as prescribed by the Ministry shall also be filled and submitted.
- f) Compliance of Environmental conditions (EC conditions) issued for existing units shall be included in EIA / EMP Report.
- g) Details of compliance of condition imposed by JSPCB shall be included in EIA / EMP Report.
- h) Details of all statutory clearances obtained from various bodies like explosive, Inspector of Factories, etc. and their compliance of conditions imposed therein shall be included in EIA / EMP Report.




57




- i) All requirements as specified by Oil Industry Safety Directorate shall be complied with and their detailed status included in EIA / EMP Report.
- j) While preparing the EIA report, the instructions for the proponents and instructions for the consultants issued by MoEF vide O.M. No. J-11013 /41/2006-IA.II(I) dated 4th August, 2009, which are available on the website of this Ministry should also be followed.
- k) Changes, if any made in the basic scope and project parameters (as submitted in Form-I and the F.R for securing the TOR) should be brought to the attention of SEIAA, Jharkhand with reasons for such changes and permission should be sought, as the TOR may also have to be altered. Post Public Hearing changes in structure and content of the draft EIA/EMP (other than modifications arising out of the P.H. process) will entail conducting the PH process again with the revised documentation.

The prescribed TORs would be valid for a period of two years for submission of the EIA / EMP reports, as per the O.M. No. J-11013/41/2006-IA.II(I) (part) dated 22.08.2014.

After preparing the draft EIA (as per the generic structure prescribed in Appendix- III of the EIA Notification, 2006) covering the above mentioned issues, the proponent will get the public hearing conducted and take further necessary action for obtaining environmental clearance in accordance with the procedure prescribed under the EIA Notification, 2006.




(S.B.S Chauhan)  
Member



(Er S.K Singh)  
Member




(Dr. R.P. Singh Sangu)  
Member




(Prof. (Dr.) M.C. Mahata)  
Member



(Prof (Dr) Shatrunjay Kumar Singh)  
Member



(S.K. Suman)  
Secretary



(A K Saxena)  
Chairman